

Introduction to Big Data and Machine Learning

Bayesian Probabilities

Dr. Mihail

September 10, 2019

- Sample space Ω : set of all possible outcomes for an experiment
- Event space \mathcal{A} : space of potential results of the experiment. A subset A of Ω is in the event space \mathcal{A} if at the end of the experiment, we can observe whether a particular event $\omega \in \Omega$
- Probability of P : With each event $A \in \mathcal{A}$, we associate a number $P(A)$ that measures the probability or degree of belief that the event will occur. $P(A)$ is called the probability of A .
- The probability of a single event must be in the interval $[0, 1]$, and the total probability over all outcomes in Ω must be 1, i.e.: $P(\Omega) = 1$

Conditional Probabilities

Consider data D and model parameters w

$$P(w, D) = P(w|D)P(D) \quad (1)$$

$$P(D, w) = P(D|w)P(w) \quad (2)$$

therefore

$$P(w|D)P(D) = P(D|w)P(w) \quad (3)$$

hence

$$P(w|D) = \frac{P(D|w)P(w)}{P(D)} \quad (4)$$

$$P(w|D) = \frac{P(D|w)P(w)}{P(D)} \quad (5)$$

- $P(w|D)$ is referred to as the posterior
- $P(w)$ prior probability, our prior belief about the model parameters
- $P(D|w)$ is the likelihood function

also,

$$P(D) = \int P(D|w)P(w)dw \quad (6)$$

Bayesian v. Frequentist

- Frequentist setting: w is considered fixed, obtained by an “estimator”, whose error bars are obtained by considering the distribution of data sets D
- Bayesian approach: there is a single dataset D , the one observed, and the uncertainty in parameters is expressed through a probability distribution over w

A widely used approach in frequentist approach is to estimate the maximum likelihood, in which w is computed that maximizes the likelihood function $P(D|w)$

Linear basis function models

Linear regression models share the property of being linear in their parameters but not necessarily in their input variables. Using non-linear basis functions of input variables, linear models are able to model arbitrary non-linearities from input variables to targets. A linear regression model $y(x, w)$ can therefore be defined more generally as:

$$y(x, w) = w_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(x) = w^T \phi(x) \quad (7)$$

where ϕ_j are the basis functions and M is the total number of parameters w_j including the bias term w_0 .

- $\phi_0(x) = 1$

and in the case of simple linear regression $\phi(x) = x$

- The target variable t of an observation x is given by a deterministic function $y(x, w)$

$$t = y(x, w) + \epsilon \quad (8)$$

where ϵ is additive noise, normally distributed (i.e., follows a Gaussian distribution with zero mean and precision[inverse variance] β)

The probabilistic model of t given x can be written as:

$$p(t|x, w, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|y(x, w), \beta^{-1}) = \sqrt{\frac{\beta}{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{\beta}{2}(t - y(x, w))^2\right) \quad (9)$$

Likelihood function

To fit a model, we use N independent and identically distributed observations x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N and their corresponding targets t_1, t_2, \dots, t_N , combined in a matrix X where $X_{(i,:)} = x_i^T$ and scalar targets t_i into column vector t , the joint conditional distribution of targets t given X (the likelihood function) is:

$$P(t|X, w, \beta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \mathcal{N}(t_i | w^T \phi(x_i), \beta^{-1}) \quad (10)$$

Taking the log of the likelihood, we get:

$$\log P(t|w, \beta) = \frac{N}{2} \log \beta - \frac{N}{2} \log 2\pi - \beta E_D(w) \quad (11)$$

where $E_D(w)$ is the sum of squares error function coming from the exponent of the likelihood function.

$$E_D(w) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N (t_i - w^T \phi(x_i))^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|t - \Phi w\|^2 \quad (12)$$

where Φ is the design matrix defined as

$$\Phi = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_0(x_1) & \phi_1(x_1) & \dots & \phi_{M-1}(x_1) \\ \phi_0(x_2) & \phi_1(x_2) & \dots & \phi_{M-1}(x_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \phi_0(x_N) & \phi_1(x_N) & \dots & \phi_{M-1}(x_N) \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

Bayesian approach

For a Bayesian treatment, we need a prior probability distribution over w . For simplicity, we will use an isotropic Gaussian distribution over w with zero mean:

$$P(w|\alpha) = \mathcal{N}(w|0, \alpha^{-1}I) \quad (14)$$

The posterior can be written as:

$$P(w|t, \alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(w|m_N, S_N) \quad (15)$$

where

$$m_N = \beta S_N \Phi^T t \quad (16)$$

$$S_N^{-1} = \alpha I + \beta \Phi^T \Phi \quad (17)$$

can be analytically derived (skipped here) because the conjugate are also Gaussian.

Bayesian approach

Taking the log:

$$\log P(W|t, \alpha, \beta) = \beta E_D(w) - \alpha E_W(w) + \text{const} \quad (18)$$

where $E_D(w)$ comes from Eq 12 and

$$E_W(w) = \frac{1}{2} w^T w \quad (19)$$

Posterior distribution

To make a prediction t at a new location x , we use the posterior:

$$p(t|x, \alpha, \beta) = \int p(t|x, w, \beta)p(w|\alpha, \beta)dw \quad (20)$$

hence we not only get an estimate, but also an uncertainty:

$$p(t|x, \alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{N}(t|m_N^T\phi(x), \sigma_N^2(x)) \quad (21)$$

where $m_N^T\phi(x)$ is the regression function after N observations and $\sigma_N^2(x)$ is the corresponding predictive variance:

$$\sigma_N^2(x) = \frac{1}{\beta} + \phi(x)^T S_N \phi(x) \quad (22)$$